D2 111-93

G. R. S. IX-5,

Previous references 1892-93

192

(L.R)

File No. 172-92

Serial Nos 1-5

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-5

In ~~Part~~ B for March 1894

SUBJECT.

Appeal of Anthayya regarding the Patel of Gundahalli, Goreudanoor Taluk

(5)

OS No 164 of 1892-93

Appt-
Anantappa
of Gundahalli
Gombeddanen
Dalvg

Respts
Byreddi

Appt was the Hereditary Patail of Gundahalli - He was removed from office & Respt - a stranger - was appointed in his place in 1875 - Appt appealed but his appeal was finally rejected in 1876. Appt brought the present suit in 1892 & it was dismissed as time barred The appeal is against the Decree.

The cause of the removal of the Appt was that he was Hanbhog of 4 villages, & he could not be a Patail of ~~Karnataka~~ Gundahalli also.

The Dy Com was quite right in having dismissed the suit, for as Appt was removed from his office for an offence, his claims to the office can only be considered when a vacancy occurs.

AH 9/3

AH 9/3/94

Mr is informed
that his appeal
has been dismissed
but his claim will
of course be considered
when a vacancy
occurs

A.P.

Receipts to be called for

LR 172-92-1

(General Serial No. 32.)

1893.

No. 3251. (2)

Name of Petitioner. Anandiah by Mr. C. Krishnamurti, Advocate

Village.

Taluk.

District.

Date of Appl. 8-6-93

Date of Receipt. 10-6-93

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. two.

ABSTRACT.

Appeals against the decision of the decree of Kolar in Revenue Regular Appeal suit No: 14/1892 on the grounds that the lower courts erred in law in holding that the suit is barred by time that the decision of the lower court is opposed to the weight of the record + that the decision of the Lower courts is inequitable

12/6

MYSORE COURT FEE

In the Court of the Councellors

Rev: Second appeal of 1893.

In the Court of the Deputy Commissioner
Kolar
Rev: Regular appeal 1 of 1893.

In the Court of the Sub Division officer
Chikkaballapoor.
Revenue Suit No 1 of 1892.

In the Ct of the 1st instance	In the Ct of the Deputy Comr	In the Ct of the Commr
Munichik Pltff	Appellant	Appellant
vs		
1 Rampa Byamma 2 Soobba Reddy	Defts	Respond-ts	Respondents

The appellant above named begs to appeal to this Hon: Court against the decision of the decree of Kolar in Revenue Regular appeal Suit No 1 of 1893 on the following grounds: - - - - - - - -

1 That the Lower Court erred in Law in holding that the Suit is barred by time.

2 That the decision of the Lower Court is opposed to the weight of the Record.

3 That the decision of the Lower Court is inequitable.

Counsel for Appellant

8-6-'13.

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Petition No. 3251

dated 8th June 1893 received at the Dewan's Office from Amanbaik & Co. Bangalore, appealing against the decision of the Deputy Commissioner of Kolar in Regular Appeal No. 18 of 1892 regarding the appellants claim to the Kallu Sandakalli, Gowribidanur Taluk

is forwarded, together with its Enclosures, to the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar Dt, for disposal of Proceedings with a report of the facts of the case and the points taken in the petition.

2. The petition with its Enclosures should be returned.

By Order,

Bangalore, 8th June 1893.

for Chief Secretary.

M. G. O. P. Bangalore.—21-11-92—3,000.

LR 172-92-3

7

(General Serial No. 30.)

1893. 15873 98 Pet-623

Petition Branch
28/6/93

From

The Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District.

(4)

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Dated 26th }
Despatched 27 } June 1893.
Received

Enclosures No. 3 & Office

General No. 4268
No. 1053 1892-93.

His official Memorandum Nor Pet-1665 dated the 19th Instant forwarding for submission of proceedings with a report a petition from Ananiah represented by Mr. C. Krishnamurti Advocate, Bangalore, appealing against the decision to this Office in Regular Revenue appeal No-1 of 1892 regarding the appellant's claim to the Patel of Gundlahalli Goribidnore Taluk.

In returning the enclosures received with the above, has the honor to submit herewith as per accompanying ferist the proceedings in Revenue Regular appeal No-1 of 1892 on the file of this Office

Post the case for hearing
C.P.
8/2/94

Posted to 8-3-94.

The undersigned and the Gudeballapur Sub-Division officer attached hereto explain the facts and circumstances of the case.

G. M. [illegible]
Deputy Commissioner

LR 172-92-4 2

Before the Government of *His Highness* the Maharaja of Mysore.

(5)

Appeal No. 164 of 1892-93

Appellant.	Vs.	Respondent.
Anantappa of Gundahalli Goribidnur Taluk		Byreddi

by Mr. C Krishnamurthi advocate

Decision. 29th March 94

Appellant was the Huckmar Patail of Gundahalli. He was removed from office and respondent, a Stranger, was appointed in his place in 1875. Appellant appealed, but his appeal was finally rejected in 1876. Appellant brought a Suit in 1892 and it was dismissed as time barred. The appeal is against this decree.

2 The cause of the removal of the appellant was that he was Charged of four villages, and he could not be a Patail of Gundahalli also.

3 The Dy. Commissioner was quite

right in having dismissed the suit; but as appellant was removed from his office for no offence, his claims to his office may be considered when a vacancy occurs.

By order

Revised Under Secy 26/3

Chief Secretary

No. 16214 A 24-3-94
Petn III-93 28.

Copy forwarded to the Dy. Comr. Kolar District with the proceedings submitted with his No. 4268/1053 of 26 June 1893.

By order

Under Secy

Chief Secretary

22/3/94

160

24/3

2 Re drafts not required

LR 172-92-5

No. 3257
1640/92-93

28/3/94

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,
PETITION DEPARTMENT,
24 March 1894

(6)

Endorsement granted to Anantappa of Gundchalli Gori Cudmur Taluk (by M-C. Krishna Murti advocate

in reply to his Petition Registered No. 3257 dated 8th June 1893 appealing against the decision of the Dy. Comr. 1640/92-93 of Kolar refusing his claim to the Patail of Gundachalli

Petitioner is informed that his appeal has been dismissed; but his claims to the office will be considered when a Vacancy occurs.

2 The enclosures were returned.

"By Order"

Chief Secretary.

Mysore Govt. Central Press, Bangalore.—9-2-93—2000.